

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,268	06/21/2001	Koji Takeguchi	100794-09745(FUJR 6901 18.748)	
26304	7590 04/05/2005	EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
	K, NY 10022-2585	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/886,268	TAKEGUCHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Justin M Philpott	2665		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2005.			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		:		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.		; •		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<u>:</u>		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	:		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	and a discount of a control of the c			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	i-(a) or (t).		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have heen receive'd	:		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
		: (1		
Attachment(s)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 2, 2005 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-14, filed February 2, 2005, with respect to newly amended independent claims 1, 8 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the amendment. That is, the previously cited art does not specifically disclose the new limitations presently recited in the amended claims. Accordingly, applicant's amendment has overcome the previous grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2665

4. Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,461,622 to Bleickardt et al. in view of European Patent Application Publication No. EP 0939509 A2 by Takatsu et al.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 9, Bleickardt teaches a transmission system for controlling the transmission of a concatenation signal via a path, the system comprising: a sending apparatus (e.g., 200, see FIG. 2) including: signal dividing means (e.g., via combination of 205, 208, 211-213, 216-218) for dividing the concatenation signal (e.g., 201) to generate a plurality of divided signals (e.g., 202-204) which are pseudo concatenation signals having a SONET or SDH multiplexed interface (e.g., STS-3c, see col. 2, lines 44-61 and col. 7, line 66 – col. 8, line 12), the bit rate (e.g., 149.760 Mb/s, see col. 8, lines 3-12) of which is lower than that of the original concatenation signal according to a bit rate available for transmission (e.g., super-rate signal at a rate greater than the payload rate of the STS-3c signal, see col. 8, lines 3-12); guarantee information adding means (e.g., overhead inserters 217) for adding guarantee information (e.g., Stuffing Indicator and overhead bytes, see col. 4, line 30 – col. 6, line 5), for guaranteeing the continuity of the divided signals (e.g., see col. 6, lines 31-65 regarding Stuffing Indicator and overhead bytes extracted and evaluated to provide proper destuffing and alignment), to each of the divided signals to generate transmission signals; and signal sending means (e.g., 218) for sending the transmission signals; and a receiving apparatus (e.g., 500 in FIG. 5) including: a signal receiving means (e.g., via combination of 504-508) for receiving the transmission signals (e.g., 501); and signal restoring means (e.g., via combination of 509-511) for restoring the original concatenation signal by constructing the divided signals (e.g., at output of 511) on the basis of the guarantee information (e.g., see col. 6, line 16 – col. 7, line 48).

Art Unit: 2665

However, Bleickardt may not specifically disclose a respective bit rate of the divided signals differs from that of another of the divided signals.

Takatsu also teaches an optical transmission system and specifically discloses a multiplexing system wherein a signal for transmission comprises and is divided into a plurality of signals, wherein one of the divided signals differs from that of another (e.g., see paragraph 0019 regarding channels being allocated to an OC-192 frame at a bit rate of 10Gbps and channels being allocated to an OC-48 frame at a bit rate of 2.4Gbps; also see paragraph 0024 wherein a 600Mbps OC-12 frame is accommodated; also see paragraphs 0038-0040, and FIG. 1A comprising both OC-192 and OC-48 frames). The teachings of Takatsu accommodating an increased number of high-speed optical signals with increased signal quality (e.g., see paragraphs 0010-0016). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Takatsu to the system of Bleickardt in order to accommodate an increased number of high-speed optical signals with increased signal quality.

Regarding claim 2, Bleickardt teaches the guarantee information adding means adds at least one of information regarding the type of the concatenation signal (e.g., see col. 4, lines 30-59 regarding the number of fixed stuffing bytes which indicate a certain signal rate), the frame number of the concatenation signal (e.g., see col. 5, lines 45-64 regarding frame reference bytes), and a division number (e.g., Stuffing Indicator byte, see col. 4, line 22 – col. 5, line 7) at the time of dividing the concatenation signal to the divided signal as the guarantee information.

Regarding claim 3, Bleickardt teaches the guarantee information adding means adds the guarantee information in empty bytes of a path overhead (e.g., via path overhead generator, see col. 5, lines 45-64) for the divided signal.

Art Unit: 2665

5. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bleickardt in view of Takatsu, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,438 to Cioffi et al.

Regarding claim 4, Bleickardt in view of Takatsu teaches the transmission system discussed above regarding claim 1, however, may not specifically disclose the receiving apparatus further includes delay information notifying means for giving the sending apparatus delay information regarding delays which have occurred at the time of receiving the transmission signals.

Cioffi also teaches a transmission system for controlling the transmission of a multiplexed signal via a path, and further, Cioffi teaches providing improved synchronization upon experiencing delays. Specifically, Cioffi teaches a receiving apparatus (e.g., central unit 10) further includes delay information notifying means (e.g., delay correction information, see col. 15, line 62 – col. 16, line 20) for giving a sending apparatus (e.g., first remote unit 15) delay information regarding delays which have occurred at the time of receiving the transmission signals. Cioffi further discloses that the teachings are applicable to a wide variety of data transmission systems including systems utilizing fiber for transmission path means (e.g., see col. 3, lines 10-16; see also col. 5, lines 48-58 regarding additional applicability). The delay correction information teachings of Cioffi provides improved synchronization for a plurality of signals transmitted along a common path whereby a receiving apparatus (e.g., 10) can accurately coordinate and reliably interpret a plurality of multiplexed signals having various delays (e.g., see col. 2, lines 45-51; see also col. 2, line 65 – col. 5, line 58). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the delay correction

Art Unit: 2665

information teachings of Cioffi to the transmission system of Bleickardt in view of Takatsu in order to provide improved synchronization for a plurality of signals transmitted along a common path whereby a receiving apparatus can accurately coordinate and reliably interpret a plurality of multiplexed signals having various delays (e.g., see col. 2, lines 45-51).

Regarding claim 5, Cioffi further teaches, on the basis of delay information, the signal sending means (e.g., at remote unit) sets the bit rate (e.g., data rate, see col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 6) of each transmission signal variable and makes delay correction (e.g., see col. 3, lines 25-39). As discussed above, the delay correction information teachings of Cioffi provides improved synchronization for a plurality of signals transmitted along a common path whereby a receiving apparatus (e.g., 10) can accurately coordinate and reliably interpret a plurality of multiplexed signals having various delays (e.g., see col. 2, lines 45-51; see also col. 2, line 65 – col. 5, line 58). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the delay correction information teachings of Cioffi to the transmission system of Bleickardt in view of Takatsu in order to provide improved synchronization for a plurality of signals transmitted along a common path whereby a receiving apparatus can accurately coordinate and reliably interpret a plurality of multiplexed signals having various delays (e.g., see col. 2, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, these claims were rejected in a previous office action by the Examiner taking official notice that the limitations recited in these claims are well known in the art. That is, it is well known in the art of multiplex communications to overlap portions of transmitted signals whereby delay correction is performed at receiving means. In applicant's responses of June 17, 2004, February 2, 2005, and March 4, 2005, applicant has not traversed the

Art Unit: 2665

Page 7

Examiner's assertion of official notice or applicant's traverse is not adequate. Therefore, in

accordance with MPEP 2144.03(C), the limitations recited in these claims comprise well-known

art and are taken to be admitted prior art. Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to overlap portions of transmitted signals in the system

of Bleickardt in view of Takatsu in view of Cioffi whereby delay correction is performed at

receiving means since such an implementation is well known in the art of multiplex

communications.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Justin M Philpott whose telephone number is 571.272.3162. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on 571.272.3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin M Philpott

ALPUS H. HSU

Mam n. rga

DRIADAN EVARAINE